arXiv:1407.2133v1 [physics.pop-ph] 8 Jul 2014

The Higgs boson and the International Linear Collider

Francesca Borzumati[1,2,3] **and Eriko Kato**[2]

[1] *Institute for International Education, Tohoku University, Aoba ku, Sendai, Japan*

[2] *Physics Department, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Sendai, Japan*

[3] *Scuola Internazionale di Studi Superiori Avanzati, Trieste, Italy*

Abstract

The Higgs boson will be subject of intense experimental searches in future high-energy experiments. In addition to the effort made at the Large Hadron Collider, where it was discovered, it will be the major subject of study at the International Linear Collider. We review here the reasons for that and some of the issues to be tackled at this future accelerator, in particular that of the precision of the Higgs-boson couplings.

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN has brought physics to the TeV energy frontier. It has been a long-standing belief that at this energy an important issue regarding the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics would be answered and New Physics (NP) (as in addition to that described by the SM) would be observed.

In its first stage, at 7 and 8 TeV of center of mass (CM) energy, however, no NP has been found, leaving physicists uncomfortably bewildered about their long-held view that TeV- or even subTeV-NP is responsible for the stabilization of the Fermi scale and of the Higgs mass. While the final answer to this issue has still to be given by the second stage of the LHC at CM energy of 14 TeV, at least one part of physicists expectations was realized: in July 2012, the discovery of a new boson was announced [1, 2]. In the following months, it has became increasingly clear that this particle is indeed the Higgs boson responsible for the breaking of the SM gauge symmetry and for providing mass to the SM elementary particles.

The frantic activity to fingerprint this particle will, without any doubt, increase in the second LHC stage. In case NP turns out to be more elusive than expected, the measurement of the Higgs-boson couplings can already give us information on what we are to find. Any top-like NP state X capable of stabilizing the Fermi scale and the Higgs mass itself, for example, is bound to produce a deviation of the couplings Higgs-gluon-gluon g_{Hgg} and Higgs-photon-photon $g_{H\gamma\gamma}$, which can be numerically expressed as[1]:

$$\frac{g_{Hgg}}{g_{Hgg}|_{SM}} = 1 + 1.4\% \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{m_X}\right)^2, \qquad \frac{g_{H\gamma\gamma}}{g_{H\gamma\gamma}|_{SM}} = 1 - 0.4\% \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{m_X}\right)^2, \tag{1}$$

if the state X is a top-like scalar, or

$$\frac{g_{Hgg}}{g_{Hgg}|_{SM}} = 1 + 2.9\% \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{m_X}\right)^2, \qquad \frac{g_{H\gamma\gamma}}{g_{H\gamma\gamma}|_{SM}} = 1 - 0.8\% \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{m_X}\right)^2, \tag{2}$$

if it is a top-like fermion. Thus, a resolution of the couplings g_{Hgg} and $g_{H\gamma\gamma}$ at the percent level is needed to tell us something about this possible new state X.

Supersymmetric particles, if Supersymmetry (SUSY) is the theory that extends the SM, produce numerically similar deviations of the g_{Hgg} and $g_{H\gamma\gamma}$ couplings, in the minimal SUSY SM with $m_A = 1\,\text{TeV}$, $\tan\beta = 1$, and stop particles with masses 860 and 1200 GeV:

$$\frac{g_{Hgg}}{g_{Hgg}|_{SM}} = 1 - 2.7, \qquad \frac{g_{H\gamma\gamma}}{g_{H\gamma\gamma}|_{SM}} = 1 + 0.2, \tag{3}$$

with a peculiar change of signs with respect to those obtained in Equations (1), (2). This is due to the fact that in the SUSY situation charginos/charged Higgs/staus contribute only to the $g_{H\gamma\gamma}$ coupling, whereas stops contribute to both.

Larger deviations can be obtained in other models, but it is clear that to disentangle at least the simple extensions discussed above, a precision at the percent level, or even smaller is required. It is then clear why the measurement precision of the Higgs couplings has become an arena for debate on which new accelerators, if any, should guide us into future explorations.

The importance of e^+e^- machines for these future explorations of particle physics has long

[1] All the following estimates are from Baer et al. [3], where the value of 120 GeV was chosen for the Higgs-boson mass. An update of all previous studies, using $m_H = 126\,\text{GeV}$ is still missing.

been discussed (see for example [4], [5].)

Lepton e^+e^- colliders have quite some advantage over hadron colliders when it comes to precision measurements.

In a hadron machine, as the LHC, about 30 pp collisions take place at each bunch crossing with each of them producing hundreds of particles. At an e^+e^- collider only one photon-photon collision is expected at each bunch crossing. Thus, detectors for e^+e^- machines are unburdened from high-occupancy problems. They can be as thin as possible and physically located much closer to the interaction point. In turn, this allows a factor of 10 improvement in momentum resolution, with respect to a hadron collider like the LHC, a factor of about 3 improvement in jet-energy resolution, and, last, but not least, also an excellent capability of tagging b- and c-quarks, as well as τ-leptons.

Together with the fact that pileups from multiple collisions are not a problem in an e^+e^- environment, this makes clear why an e^+e^- machine is more suited for precision measurements.

The unique feature of the International Linear Collider (ILC) is the fact that its CM energy can be increased gradually simply by extending the main linac.

The ILC is supposed to operate at (at least) three stages. After a start at $\sqrt{s} = 250\,\text{GeV}$, there will be an increase of the CM-energy to 500 GeV, and later to 1000 GeV. In the three stages, each one operating for three years, an integrated luminosity of 250, 500, and 1000 fb^{-1} will be obtained, respectively. With a luminosity upgrade, the accumulated luminosity will reach values of 1150, 1600, and 2500 fb^{-1}, respectively. Given these luminosities, it is reasonable to assume that at the 250 and 500 GeV ILC, about 80 thousand and 120 thousand Higgs events will be produced. With the luminosity achieved after a luminosity upgrade, this amount will be at least tripled.



Figure 1: *Feynman diagrams for the dominant Higgs-production processes at the ILC: the Higgs-strahlung (left) and the WW-fusion processes (right).*

At the different stages the Higgs couplings are measured in different processes. The two main Higgs-production mechanisms at the ILC are Higgs-strahlung, *i.e.* the radiation of the Higgs bosons off an s-channel Z-line, and the WW-fusion process. The two mechanisms are depicted diagrammatically in **Figure 1**. A replacement of the W boson with the Z boson and of the final neutrino and antineutrino with an electron and a positron in the diagram for the WW-fusion mechanism is the diagram for the sub-leading ZZ-fusion production mechanism.

As shown by the values of cross sections for the first two production mechanisms, in **Figure 2**, the Higgs-strahlung process peaks at $\sqrt{s} = 250\,\text{GeV}$, while at higher energies, the dominant production process is the WW-fusion process. The blue line in this figure includes also the



Figure 2: *Cross sections for the Higgs-strahlung (red line) and WW-fusion (blue line) production mechanism, as a function of the CM energy $\sqrt{s}$ [3]. The black line is simply the cross section due to both production mechanisms, giving rise to the final state $Hf\bar{f}$ (with the Z-boson in the Higgs-strahlung cross section decaying in all possible fermions). Polarizations of* 80% *and* 30% *for electrons and positrons, respectively, were assumed.*

cross section for the ZZ-fusion process, which is only a tiny fraction of that for the WW-fusion process.

With the subsequent decays of the Higgs boson into a pair of X particles, $H \to XX$, these two processes allow to study the couplings g_{HXX}, which can be tree-level couplings, or also effective couplings for loop-level decays. Indeed, the particle X here can be a fermion, a photon or a gluon.

The ZH process is crucial for measuring the Higgs couplings. By reconstructing the Z boson from the two leptons to which it decays, the Higgs boson can be captured inclusively, without looking at its decay products. Thus, also the width for invisible decays can be estimated.

With the Higgs recoil method, and the luminosity values specified above, the total cross section for the ZH process, σ_{ZH}, can be measured with 1.3% precision.

Measuring then the cross section for the process $e^+e^- \to ZH \to ZXX$, $\sigma_{ZH;H\to XX}$, sensitive to the coupling g_{HXX}:

$$\frac{\sigma_{ZH;H\to XX}}{\sigma_{ZH}} \propto g^2_{HXX} \tag{4}$$

a good precision can be achieved for the couplings g_{HXX}. In particular a precision of 0.7% can be obtained in the case $X = Z$, i.e. for g_{HZZ}, when $m_H = 126\,\text{GeV}$ is used. (Note the claimed precision of 0.5% listed in **Table 1**, referring to $m_H = 120\,\text{GeV}$.)

The most challenging decays among those studied at this CM energy, are those into b-, c-quarks, and into gluons.

	ILC(250)	ILC(500)	ILC(1000)	ILC(LumUp)
$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	250	250+500	250+500+1000	250+500+1000
L (ab^{-1})	0.25	0.25+0.5	0.25+0.5+1	1.15+1.6+2.5
$\gamma\gamma$	18 %	8.4 %	4.0 %	2.4 %
gg	6.4 %	2.3 %	1.6 %	0.9 %
WW	4.8 %	1.1 %	1.1 %	0.6 %
ZZ	1.3 %	1.0 %	1.0 %	0.5 %
$t\bar{t}$	–	14 %	3.1 %	1.9 %
$b\bar{b}$	5.3 %	1.6 %	1.3 %	0.7 %
$\tau^+\tau^-$	5.7 %	2.3 %	1.6 %	0.9 %
$c\bar{c}$	6.8 %	2.8 %	1.8 %	1.0 %
$\mu^+\mu^-$	91%	91%	16 %	10 %
$\Gamma_T(h)$	12 %	4.9 %	4.5 %	2.3 %
HHH	–	83 %	21 %	13 %
BR(invis.)	$< 0.9\%$	$< 0.9\%$	$< 0.9\%$	$< 0.4\%$

Table 1: Expected precisions for the Higgs-boson couplings [6], once results from the different CM energies, and upgraded luminosity are considered. For these estimates the value $m_H = 120\,\text{GeV}$ was used.

The expected sensitivity for the couplings measured at this stage is summarized in **Table 1**, where also the couplings g_{HWW}, g_{Htt}, measured at 500 GeV, and discussed hereafter, are included.

At $\sqrt{s} = 500\,\text{GeV}$, the measurement of the cross section for the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}H \to \nu\bar{\nu}WW$, $\sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}H;H\to WW}$, related to g_{HWW} as in

$$\sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}H;H\to WW} = \sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}H} BR(H \to WW) \propto g^4_{HWW} \tag{5}$$

gives this coupling, up to the absolute cross section $\sigma_{\nu\nu H}$. This can be obtained from the measurement of $\sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}H;H\to XX}$:

$$\sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}H;H\to XX} = \sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}H} BR(H \to XX) \propto g^2_{HWW} g^2_{HXX} \tag{6}$$

with the coupling g^2_{HXX} already extracted at $\sqrt{s} = 250\,\text{GeV}$. A convenient decay mode is in this case $H \to b\bar{b}$.



Figure 3: *Feynman diagrams for the $t\bar{t}H$ production process, with the Higgs boson radiated off the t-quark and the Z-line.*

At $\sqrt{s} = 500\,\text{GeV}$ also the $t\bar{t}H$-production mechanism opens up. The relevant Feynman diagrams for this process are shown in **Figure 3**.

The corresponding cross section is plotted in **Figure 4** as a function of the CM energy. At $\sqrt{s} = 500\,\text{GeV}$ the cross section is somewhat small. It is however enhanced by a factor of two by QCD corrections [7], including mainly $t\bar{t}$ bound-state effects. This makes the measurement of the Higgs-top coupling possible, with a somewhat modest precision of 14%, not including theoretical errors. Subsequent CM-energy increases and luminosity upgrades will be able to improve this precision up to 2% (again without theory errors).



Figure 4: *Cross sections for the $t\bar{t}H$ process as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ [7]. The solid (dotted) lines highlighted by arrows, denote which one includes (does not include) QCD effects. Both lines refer to the process shown in* **Figure 3**. *For completeness, also the cross section for the $t\bar{t}H$ process originating from teh HIggs strahlung, with subsequent decay $H \to t\bar{t}$ is given. Shown are also some sources of background, such as the process $t\bar{t}g^* \to t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ with a virtual gluon radiated off one of the two initial top-quarks.*

Data at 500 and 1000 GeV will help improving the precision of all couplings already measured in previous stages.

What will probably remain a bit elusive, probably until the completion of the ILC program, is the Higgs self coupling, g_{HHH}. It is clear that to give a complete identification of the recently discovered Higgs boson the measurement of this self coupling and then a reconstruction of the Higgs potential are necessary.

It can be obtained from the ZH and WW-fusion processes, with a subsequent decay of H into a pair HH. In the $e^+e^- \to ZHH$ process the Z boson will be reconstructed from the pairs $l\bar{l}$ and $q\bar{q}$ in which it decays. In the $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}HH$ the two H's can be reconstructed from $4b$'s or $2b$'s and 2 W's.

Luminosity upgrade at the ILC as well as high polarization will have the goal of bringing

the measurement of the Higgs self coupling to a final $\sim 10\%$ precision.

Except for this coupling, the precision achievable for the other couplings, summarized in **Table 1** is well within the values requested by Equations (1, 2, 3), *i.e.* is good enough to address the issue of the nature of NP to be expected.

The LHC will in the meantime help (hopefully even considerably) in fingerprinting the Higgs boson. Together with the ILC, then, an accurate profile of this particle will be put together and, with it, an understanding of what triggers the electroweak symmetry breaking will be obtained.

Acknowledgements The work of Francesca Borzumati is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 23540283 of JSPS, Japan, and by the ERC Advanced Grant 267985 "DaMESyFla". Eriko Kato is supported by the JSPS Grant in Aid for Specially-Promoted Research "A global R&D program of a state-of-the-art detector system for ILC".

References

[1] G. Aad, T. Abajyan, B. Abbott, J. Abdallah, S. Abdel Khalek, A.A. Abdelalim, *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 1 [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].

[2] S. Chatrchyan , V. Khachatryan, A. M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan, W. Adam, E. Aguilo, *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 30 [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].

[3] H. Baer, T. Barklow, K. Fujii, Y. Gao, A. Hoang, S. Kanemura, J. List and H. E. Logan *et al.*, *The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 2: Physics*, arXiv:1306.6352 [hep-ph].

[4] E. Kato, contribution to the workshop "LC13: Exploring QCD from the infrared regime to heavy-flavour scales at B factories, the LHC and a Linear Collider", ECT*, Trento, Italy, Sept 2013, to appear in Nuov Cimento

[5] E. Accomando , A. Andreazza, H. Anlauf, A. Ballestrero, T. Barklow, J. Bartels, *et al.* [ECFA/DESY LC Physics Working Group Collaboration], *Physics with e^+e^- linear colliders*, Phys. Rept. **299** (1998) 1 [hep-ph/9705442].

[6] D. M. Asner, T. Barklow, C. Calancha, K. Fujii, N. Graf, H. E. Haber, A. Ishikawa and S. Kanemura *et al.*, arXiv:1310.0763 [hep-ph].

[7] R. Yonamine, K. Ikematsu, T. Tanabe, K. Fujii, Y. Kiyo, Y. Sumino and H. Yokoya, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 014033 [arXiv:1104.5132 [hep-ph]].